

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/131,744	08/10/1998	NORIBUMI KOITABASHI	884.2742	8265
5514	7590 03/26/2002		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EXAMINER	
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			GRENDZYNSKI, MICHAEL E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774 DATE MAILED: 03/26/2002	24

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3		H >			
	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)			
Office Antique Communication	09/131,744	KOITABASHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael E. Grendzynski	1774			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nation.  It is, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <i>06 March 2001</i> .				
	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the appl					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	. Was also Paragraph				
8) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> are subject to restriction a <b>Application Papers</b>	nd/or election requirement.				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		•			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/131,744

Art Unit: 1774

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-9, drawn to a recording method, classified in class 477, subclass 105.
  - II. Claim 10, drawn to a recording apparatus, classified in class 347, subclass 20.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another, materially different process, e.g., an ink having a Ka value of more than 3 ml/m² msec<sup>-1/2</sup> (or a method whereby two inks (and not an ink and a processing liquid) are applied).
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Should the invention of Group I be chosen, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
  - a. A recording method comprising the steps of (1) ejecting onto a recording material ink having a Ka value of not more than 3 ml/m<sup>2</sup> msec<sup>-1/2</sup> and (2) applying a processing liquid thereto having a Ka value of not less than 5 ml/m<sup>2</sup> msec<sup>-1/2</sup> (claims 1, 4, 6, 7, and 9).
  - b. A recording method comprising the steps of (1) ejecting onto a recording material ink having a Ka value of not less than 1 ml/m<sup>2</sup> msec<sup>-1/2</sup>; (2) applying heat to the ink and (3) applying a processing liquid having a Ka value not less than 1 ml/m<sup>2</sup> msec<sup>-1/2</sup> (claim 2).

- c. A recording method comprising the steps of (1) ejecting onto a recording material ink having a Ka value of not more than 1 ml/m<sup>2</sup> msec<sup>-1/2</sup> and having a penetration property that increases with heat; (2) applying heat to the ink and (3) applying a processing liquid having a Ka value not less than 1 ml/m<sup>2</sup> msec<sup>-1/2</sup> (claims 3-7).
- d. A recording method comprising the steps of (1) depositing ink containing a coloring material having a polarity onto a recording material and (2) applying to the ink a processing liquid having a polarity opposite from that of a coloring material (claims 8-9).
- 5. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1774

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of

the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the 7.

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named

inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of

inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37

CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Michael E. Grendzynski whose telephone number is 703-305-0593. The examiner can

normally be reached on weekdays, from 9:00 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 703-308-0449. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-5408 for regular communications and 703-872-9311

for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should

be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2351.

Michael E. Grendzynski

Assistant Examiner

March 24, 2002

BRUCE H. HESS PRIMARY EXAMINER